

REMARKS

Claims 4 and 10 were rejected under 35 USC 101. In response, Applicant has amended claim 4 with the element that facilitates the monitoring. Now that claim 4 has a particle detector, there is a result from the device as claimed. Furthermore, claim 10 has been cancelled. New claim 11 is identical to amended claim 4 and provides even more limitations.

Claims 4 and 10 were rejected under 35 USC 112, second paragraph. In response, Applicant has amended claim 4 with the element that facilitates the monitoring. Now that claim 4 has a particle detector, there is a result from the device as claimed. Furthermore, claim 10 has been cancelled. New claim 11 is identical to amended claim 4 and provides even more limitations.

Claim 10 was rejected under 35 USC 102(b) as being anticipated by Dougan et al (Dougan: US Patent 6,884,639). In response, claim 10 has been canceled.

Any and all extensions of time are hereby requested and petitioned for. Please charge any and all fees due and owing to Deposit Account 500356 in the name of Greenberg & Lieberman – A + Legal Services.

Respectfully submitted,

/mlg/

Michael L. Greenberg, Esq.

Registration Number 47,312